Master-<br/>Table 5Properties of the seven approaches to ethical choice.Table 5The core obligation can be viewed as either a social value (L-5) or an ethical maxim (L"-5).<br/>See text for further details and examples.

L	Type of Approach	Core Obligation	Classification	Injunction to choose: (Interdiction)	Aspiration and Constraint	Cardinal Virtue Cardinal Vice
1'	Rationalist	Meeting practical objectives which are self-evidently sensible and worthwhile to the chooser.	Teleological	Reasonably (Unreasonably)	Solutions and Realities	Wisdom : Folly
2′	Conventionalist	Conforming with widely held views on what is valued and proper within the chooser's relevant social group.	Deontological	Acceptably (Unacceptably)	Continuity and Change	Moderation : Extremism
3′	Pragmatist	Pursuing values which are preferred by the chooser, bring some general benefit, and are easily applied.	Teleological	Appropriately (Inappropriately)	Ideals and Potentials	Prudence : Recklessness
4′	Individualist	Ensuring the chooser's security and interests in the light of existing power relations.	Deontological	Self-advantageously (Self-disadvantageously)	Strengths and Vulnerabilities	Courage : Arrogance
5′	Communalist	Balancing all anticipated consequences in relation to the needs and interests of all concerned including the chooser.	Teleological	Beneficially overall (Harmfully overall)	Altruism and Egoism	Benevolence : Indifference
6′	Legitimist	Setting a rule which is accepted as right by the chooser and all others in the social group.	Deontological	Fairly (Unfairly)	Common good and Individual autonomy	Justice : Injustice
7′	Transcendentalist	Responding to the chooser's deep inner (and essentially divine) sense of what is right and good.	Teleological	Authentically (Hypocritically)	Spirituality and Temporality	Integrity : Corruption

© Warren Kinston 1990,1992